## Stereoselective Synthesis of 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-Piperidines through Organocatalytic Aza-Michael Reactions: A Facile Synthesis of  $(+)$ -Myrtine and  $(-)$ -Epimyrtine

Yongcheng Ying, Hyoungsu Kim, and Jiyong Hong\*

Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, United States

jiyong.hong@duke.edu

Received December 17, 2010



ORGANIC

## **ABSTRACT**



Both 2,6-*cis*- and 2,6-*trans*-piperidines were prepared from common substrates through organocatalytic aza-Michael reactions promoted by the<br>com-disubstituent effect in conjunction with dithiane counling reactions. The o *gem*-disubstituent effect in conjunction with dithiane coupling reactions. The organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction enabled a facile synthesis of<br>(⊥)-myrtine and (—)-enimyrtine from a common substrate  $(+)$ -myrtine and  $(-)$ -epimyrtine from a common substrate.

Structurally complex piperidines are found in a wide range of biologically interesting natural products. In particular, 2,6-disubstituted piperidines have attracted considerable interest because of their therapeutic potential.<sup>1</sup> Although an increasing amount of interest has focused on the generation of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines,  $2,3$  there are few methods that enable the synthesis of both 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-piperidines from a common substrate. Moreover, it is surprising that the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction has rarely been used for the stereoselective synthesis of piperidines.<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Struntz, G. M.; Findlay, J. A. In The Alkaloids; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1985; Vol. 26, pp 89-193. (b) Schneider, M. In Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives; Pelletier, S.W., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 10, pp 155-299.

<sup>(2)</sup> For reviews on the synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines, see: (a) Weintraub, J. S.; Sabol, P. M.; Kane, J. M.; Borcherding, D. R. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 2953–2989. (b) Buffat, M. G. P. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 1701–1729. (c) Cossy, J. Chem. Rec. 2005, 5, 70–80.

<sup>(3)</sup> For recent examples of the synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines, see: (a) Gnamm, C.; Krauter, C. M.; Broedner, K.; Helmchen, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 2050–2054. (b) Gnamm, C.; Broedner, K.; Krauter, C. M.; Helmchen, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 10514–10532. (c) Kumar, R. S. C.; Sreedhar, E.; Reddy, V. G.; Babu, K. S.; Rao, J. M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 1160–1163. (d) Kumar, R. S. C.; Reddy, G. V.; Babu, K. S.; Rao, J. M. Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 564-565. (e) Guerinot, A.; Serra-Muns, A.; Gnamm, C.; Bensoussan, C.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1808–1811.

Herein, we report the stereoselective synthesis of both 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-piperidines from common substrates through the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction promoted by the *gem*-disubstituent effect and its application to a facile synthesis of  $(+)$ -myrtine and  $(-)$ -epimyrtine.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2,6-cis-Piperidine 5 through an Intramolecular Aza-Michael Reaction



To test the feasibility of the tandem allylic oxidation/ aza-Michael reaction $6$  in the synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines, we prepared substrate  $(Z)$ -3 by coupling<sup>7</sup> allyl alcohol  $(Z)$ -1<sup>6</sup> with the readily available Ts-protected chiral aziridine  $2$  and subjected it to  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ -oxidation conditions (Scheme 1). However, due to the poor nucleophilicity of sulfonamide 4, the tandem allylic oxidation/aza-Michael reaction of  $(Z)$ -3 in the presence of MnO<sub>2</sub> failed to provide the desired 2,6-cis-piperidine 5. Instead, it resulted in the exclusive formation of the intermediate  $(Z)$ -enal 4 (80%).

We hypothesized that the activation of the conjugate acceptor would help overcome the poor nucleophilicity of 4 in the aza-Michael reaction. To test this hypothesis, we converted 4 to the corresponding iminium ion by treatment

(4) For a review on the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction, see: Enders, D.; Wang, C.; Liebich, J. X. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11058– 11076.

(6) For an analogous tandem allylic oxidation/oxa-Michael reaction, see: (a) Kim, H.; Park, Y.; Hong, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7577–7581. (b) Kim, H.; Hong, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2880–2883.

(7) (a) Smith, A. B., III; Kim, D.-S. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1493–1495. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Kim, D.-S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3247–3250. (c) Smith, A. B., III; Kim, D.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2547–2557.

(8) The relative stereochemisry of the major diastereomer of the reaction was determined to be cis by 2D NMR spectroscopy (see the Supporting Information for details).

with pyrrolidine  $TFA$  (Scheme 1). As expected, the iminium activation of 4 dramatically promoted the aza-Michael reaction to successfully provide the desired 2,6-cis-piperidine 5.<sup>8</sup> However, the stereoselectivity of the substrate-controlled aza-Michael reaction was modest  $(5:6 = 4:1)$ .





To further improve the stereoselectivity of the aza-Michael reaction, we decided to test chiral organocatalysts.<sup>4,5,9</sup> When  $(R)$ -I<sup>10</sup> or  $(R)$ -II<sup>10a</sup> was employed (Scheme 2), the desired 2, 6-cis-piperidine 5 was obtained with good stereoselectivity  $(dr = 11:1)^{11}$  The catalyst  $(2R, 5R)$ -III<sup>12</sup> also provided 5, but in modest stereoselectivity ( $dr = 4:1$ ). When (S)-I was used for the aza-Michael reaction of 4, the 2,6-trans-piperidine 6 was obtained as the major diastereomer  $(dr = 3:1)$ , demonstrating that the synthesis of both 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-piperidines could be achieved from a common substrate through the organocatalytic aza-Michael reactions.13 To the best of our

<sup>(5)</sup> For examples of the synthesis of monosubstituted or benzofused piperidines by the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction, see: (a) Takasu, K.; Maiti, S.; Ihara, M. Heterocycles 2003, 59, 51-55. (b) Fustero, S.; Jiménez, D.; Moscardó, J.; Catalán, S.; del Pozo, C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5283–5286. (c) Carlson, E. C.; Rathbone, L. K.; Yang, H.; Collett, N. D.; Carter, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5155–5158. (d) Fustero, S.; Moscardo, J.; Jimenez, D.; Perez-Carrion, M. D.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; del Pozo, C. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 9868–9872.

<sup>(9)</sup> For recent examples of organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction, see: (a) Uria, U.; Vicario, J. L.; Badia, D.; Carrillo, L. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2509–2511. (b) Perdicchia, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3565–3568. (c) Li, H.; Zu, L.; Xie, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, W. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5636–5638. (d) Lin, Q.; Meloni, D.; Pan, Y.; Xia, M.; Rodgers, J.; Shepard, S.; Li, M.; Galya, L.; Metcalf, B.; Yue, T.-Y.; Liu, P.; Zhou, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1999–2002. (e) Enders, D.; Wang, C.; Raabe, G. Synthesis 2009, 4119–4124. (f) Lv, J.; Wu, H.; Wang, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 11, 2073–2083.

<sup>(10) (</sup>a) Marigo, M.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Fielenbach, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 794–797. (b) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212–4215.

<sup>(11)</sup> A variety of solvents were tested to further optimize the reaction conditions, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> proved to be the most effective for the reaction (see the Supporting Information for details).

<sup>(12)</sup> Austin, J. F.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1172–1173.

<sup>(13)</sup> To assess the effect of protecting groups on stereochemical outcome, we prepared the corresponding Boc- and Cbz-carbamates of 4 and subjected them to the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction conditions. Both  $(R)$ -I and  $(S)$ -I provided 2,6-cis-piperidines as the major diastereomer (dr =  $2-20:1$ ; see the Supporting Information for details).

knowledge, the stereoselective synthesis of both 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-piperidines from a common substrate has not been achieved for intramolecular organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction, although it has been appeared in a few other reactions such as Ir-catalyzed allylic substitutions.<sup>3a,b</sup>

modest to good stereoselectivities (up to 10:1 dr, entries 1-4). However, sterically hindered tertiary amine 8e did not afford the desired piperidines (Table 1, entry 5). It is noteworthy that higher stereoselectivities were observed with  $(E)$ -enals compared with the corresponding  $(Z)$ -enals.

Table 1. Substrate Scope of the Organocatalytic Aza-Michael Reaction



entry	substrate	conditions <sup>a</sup>	major product $(\text{yield}^b)$	$\mathrm{d} \mathrm{r}^c$
1	$(Z)$ -8a	A	9a(91%)	11:1
		в	<b>10a</b> $(82%)$	1:3
	$(E)$ -8a	A	<b>9a</b> $(93%)$	15:1
		в	10a(86%)	1:5
$\overline{2}$	$(Z)$ -8b	A	<b>9b</b> $(90\%)$	>15:1
		B	10b $(75%)$	1:2
	$(E)$ -8 $\bf{b}$	A	<b>9b</b> $(97%)$	>20:1
		B	10b $(80\%)$	1:4
3	$(Z)$ -8 $c$	A	<b>9c</b> $(78%)$	10:1
		в	<b>10c</b> $(78%)$	1:8
	$(E)$ -8 $c$	A	<b>9c</b> $(87%)$	12:1
		B	10 $c(79%)$	1:10
4	$(Z)$ -8d	A	<b>9d</b> $(90\%)$	15:1
		B	10d $(86%)$	1:1
5	$(Z)$ -8e	A	NR <sup>d</sup>	$NA^e$
		в	NR <sup>d</sup>	$NA^e$

<sup>a</sup> A: (1) MnO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 3 h, filtration; (2) (S)-I·BzOH (20 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 7–45 h. **B**: (1) MnO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 3 h, filtration;<br>(2) (R)-I·BzOH (20 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 9–67 h. <sup>b</sup>Combined yield of the isolated 2,6-cis- and 2,6-trans-piperidines. <sup>c</sup> The diastereomeric ratio (2,6-cispiperidine:2,6-trans-piperidine) was determined by integration of the <sup>1</sup>H  $\widehat{N}MR$  spectrum of the crude product.  $\binom{d}{k}N$  reaction.  $\binom{e}{k}N$  applicable.

To investigate the scope and stereochemical outcome of the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction with respect to substituents at the C2 position, we prepared sulfonamides 8a-e by coupling 1 with the commercially or readily available chiral aziridines  $7a-e$  and subjected them to the allylic oxidation/organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction (Table 1). We were pleased to find that the aza-Michael reaction of  $8a-d$  in the presence of  $(S)$ -I proceeded smoothly to provide the corresponding 2,6-cis-piperidines  $9a-d$  with good to excellent stereoselectivities (up to  $20:1$  dr, entries  $1-4$ ). In addition, when  $(R)$ -I was used for the aza-Michael reaction of 8a-d, 2,6-trans-piperidines 10a-d were obtained with



**Figure 1.** Proposed mechanism of cyclization of  $(E)$ - and  $(Z)$ iminium ions.

The origin of the higher stereoselectivity with (S)-I relative to  $(R)$ -I can be explained as illustrated in Figure 1. The  $(E)$ -enal forms a "match pair"<sup>14</sup> with  $(S)$ -I and proceeds through conformer A to provide the 2,6-cis-piperidine with excellent stereoselectivity. However, the combination of  $(R)$ -I and  $(E)$ -enal produces a "mismatch pair", which leads to the formation of multiple competing transition states to give 2,6-trans-piperidine with lower stereoselectivity (conformer D). The reason for the higher stereoselectivity with  $(E)$ -enals relative to  $(Z)$ -enals can be rationalized on the basis that while the  $(Z)$ -iminium ion intermediates undergo a cyclization to provide the corresponding 2,6-trans-piperidine (through conformer B in "match pair") and 2,6-cispiperidine (through conformer C in "mismatch pair"), competitive and rapid isomerization to the corresponding  $(E)$ -iminium ion intermediates<sup>15</sup> could occur to eventually provide the opposite diastereomers, which results in lower stereoselectivity relative to  $(E)$ -iminium ion intermediates.

We hypothesized that the 1,3-dithiane group would be critical to overcome the low reactivity of sulfonamides by promoting an ideal conformation for cyclization through the  $g$ em-disubstituent effect.<sup>16</sup> To test this hypothesis, we prepared substrate 11 with no gem-disubstituent effect and

<sup>(14)</sup> Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1985, 24, 1–30.

<sup>(15)</sup> Ouellet, S. G.; Tuttle, J. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 32–33.

<sup>(16)</sup> Jung, M. E.; Piizzi, G. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1735–1766.

Scheme 3. gem-Disubstituent Effect on Stereoselectivity and Reaction Rate



subjected it to the reaction conditions (Scheme 3). Although the organcatalytic aza-Michael reaction of 11 in the presence of  $(R)$ -I provided 2,6-*cis*-piperidine 12 with good stereoselectivity (dr = 11:1), the yield was poor  $(<15\%)$ . The organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction of 11 in the presence of (S)-I failed to provide the corresponding 2,6-trans-piperidine; instead, decomposition of 11 was observed. These data clearly demonstrate that the gem-disubstituent effect by the 1,3-dithiane group is critical to overcoming the poor nucleophilicity of sulfonamides and improving the yield.

To demonstrate the versatility of the organocatalytic aza-Michael reactions for the stereoselective synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines, we embarked on the facile synthesis of  $(-)$ -epimyrtine (16) and  $(+)$ -myrtine (18) (Scheme 4).<sup>17,18</sup> We envisioned that both 2,6-cis- and 2,6trans-piperidines embedded in 16 and 18, respectively, could be constructed from a common substrate using the organocatalytic aza-Michael reactions.

Witting reaction of aldehyde 9b with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate followed by dissolving metal reduction of the resulting  $(E)$ - $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester 13 afforded ester 14 with accompanying deprotection of the Ts group. Li $AH_4$ -reduction, mesylation, and subsequent intramolecular N-alkylation provided quinolizidine 15.

(19) (a) Stork, G.; Zhao, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 287–290. (b) Fleming, F. F.; Funk, L.; Altundas, R.; Tu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6502–6504.

(20) Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405–4408.

**Scheme 4.** Synthesis of  $(-)$ -Epimyrtine and  $(+)$ -Myrtine



Final deprotection of 1,3-dithiane group in 15 in the presence of bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo benzene<sup>19</sup> completed the synthesis of  $(-)$ -epimyrtine (16).

Starting from an inseparable mixture of 10b and 9b (4:1), Still–Gennari olefination<sup>20</sup> followed by a separation of the resulting  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated esters provided (Z)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester 17. Compound 17 was converted to  $(+)$ -myrtine (18) following the procedures described above.

In summary, the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction was explored for the stereoselective synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted piperidines. The organocatalytic aza-Michael reactions allowed the synthesis of both 2,6-cis- and 2,6-transpiperidines from the common substrates. The reaction proceeded with modest to excellent stereoselectivities (up to 20:1 dr) and yields. The 1,3-dithiane group allowed for rapid access to substrates and promoted the intramolecular aza-Michael reaction via the gem-disubstituent effect. We also demonstrated the utility of the combination of the organocatalytic aza-Michael reaction and the dithiane coupling reaction in the concise synthesis of  $(-)$ -epimyrtine (16) and  $(+)$ -myrtine (18) from the common intermediate. This synthetic method would be broadly applicable to the efficient synthesis of a diverse set of bioactive natural products with 2,6-disubstituted piperidines.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Duke University. We are grateful to the NCBC (Grant No. 2008- IDG-1010) for funding of NMR instrumentation.

Supporting Information Available. General experimental procedures including spectroscopic and analytical data along with copies of  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(17)</sup> For the isolation of  $(+)$ -myrtine and  $(-)$ -epimyrtine, see: (a) Slosse, P.; Hootele, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 397–398. (b) Slosse, P.; Hootele, C. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 4287–4294.

<sup>(18)</sup> For the synthesis of myrtine and epimyrtine, see: (a) Slosse, P.; Hootele, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 19, 4587–4588. (b) King, F. D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1986, 447–453. (c) Comins, D. L.; Brown, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4549–4552. (d) Comins, D. L.; Weglarz, M. A.; O'Connor, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1751–1754. (e) Comins, D. L.; LaMunyon, D. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5053–5056. (f) Comins, D. L.; LaMunyon, D. H. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5807–5809. (g) Gelas-Mialhe, Y.; Gramain, J.-C.; Louvet, A.; Remuson, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 73–76. (h) Pilli, R. A.; Dias, L. C.; Maldaner, A. O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2729-2732. (i) Pilli, R. A.; Dias, L. C.; Maldaner, A. O. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 717–722. (j) Gardette, D.; Gelas-Mialhe, Y.; Gramain, J.-C.; Perrin, B.; Remuson, R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1823–1828. (k) Davis, F. A.; Zhang, Y.; Anilkumar, G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8061–8064. (l) Back, T. G.; Hamilton, M. D.; Lim, V. J. J.; Parvez, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 967–972. (m) Amorde, S. M.; Judd, A. S.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2031–2033. (n) Davis, F. A.; Xu, H.; Zhang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2046–2052. (o) Pizzuti, M. G.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3464–3466. (p) Amorde, S. M.; Jewett, I. T.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3222–3231.